

6/ TAG RAG Spokesmen presentation

Aldo Maietta
RAG spokesmen
Feed-back

RAG spokesmen - Feed-back

Follow up from RAG 6 – Ljubljana, 14th November 2017

- The RUs are interested to get **an update of the construction works much in advance**;
- Checking the possibility to extend the loading gauge by running an operational test with special equipped wagons as the on-going test in RFC 2;
- The RUs in Spain are interested to get more information about the timing of track gauge adaptation works;
- The RUs are interested to get information about the Ten-T parameters implementation plan;
- The RUs requested to use reflective tail lights on Lyon-Modane-Torino and Villa Opicina-Verona sections;
- VIIA requested clarification about priority rules to be applied after the booking phase;
- The RUs requested RFI the possibility to carry dangerous goods via Torino-Novara. RFI clarified that RFC 6 implemented the diversionary route Torino-Tortona-Milano and regularly published PAPS on such a route. The RUs replied that the shortest way via Torino-Novara would be better;
- SNCF Fret reminded the possibility to include the extension to Ventimiglia;

- Captrain would make operations faster at the border and get additional stops in case of emergencies, notably in Italy and Spain, especially for ad hoc traffic

RAG spokesmen - Feed-back

New input from Rus

- Rus want to have faster and better quality corridor **train paths on the HU-HR-SI-IT**. It would be appreciated if the offers would meet with market requirements.
- it would be desirable to have a unique coordination of all the corridors in order to have a complete view on TCR, rerouting, PaPs and other products.
- Harmonization of works between the 3 corridors crossing the Alps (RFC 1,3 and 5) and RFC 6.

RAG spokesmen - Feed-back

Follow up – Issues Logbook

European Commission is focusing on priorities with high impact on the rail sector and for which is reasonable to expect a solution to be developed within 12-18 months.

On the 15th of May the Joint PRIME/RUD/RFC meeting on the Issues Logbook was held at EC premises.

The objective of the meeting was to **identify three priorities that would greatly improve cross border traffic in a short period of time.**

The priorities decided upon during the meeting are the following:

1. **Braking**
2. **Train composition and cross border checks**
3. **Real time/advanced communication**

RAG spokesmen - Feed-back

Follow up – Issues Logbook – Priorities of RUs

ID	Title	N. votes
1	Braking Sheets	5
2	Braking performance	11
3	Tail lights vs plates	9
4	Train composition Harmonisation of wagon list	12
5	Train composition - Working handbrake last wagon	2
6	Train composition - No push 6 axes wagons	1
7	Train composition - Buffer wagons	2
8	Technical checks at border stations	1
9	Mandatory checks in MS	1
10	Operational implementation of the traffic in ERTMS	3
11	New train number	4
12	Exception from operational rules	0
13	2 drivers	3
14	Equipment of border stations with commutable electric power supply	1
15	Real time communication about train composition	5

- RUs were requested to choice their priorities: this is the result;
- Would your organisation be **ready to participate in the work** on one or more of these issues? Which one(s)?
- Would your organisation be ready to **lead the work on one or more of these issues**? Which one(s)? Would you already have the name of possible